

Jalopchar[™] – An Eco-friendly Wastewater Treatment Technology

Dr. Ravinder Kaur

Principal Scientist, Water Technology Centre

and

Former Project Director, Water technology Centre & Director (Addnl. Charge) Indian Agricultural Research Institute-New Delhi



National Challenges

WATER: An essential element for our survival and an important vehicle for economic development





Food production to be increased by 50% by 2025





Wastewater Generation & Treatment in India







United Nations SDG Goal No.6 mandates to reduce the percentage of untreated wastewater by half while considerably expanding & promoting its recycling & safe reuse (UN-Water, 2016)

Recycle & Reuse of Treated Wastewater A Viable Option

HIGH ENERGY/ CONSUMABLES

CONVENTIONAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT METHODS

HIGH SKILLED MANPOWER

COSTIY

ACTIVATED CARBON FILTER T

GENERATE HAZARDOUS SLUDGE

UNSUSTAINABLE

KKK

Comparative Performance & Economics of Available On-site Wastewater Treatment Technologies in Rural/ Peri-urban Settings

On-site Wastewater management tech.	Performance efficiency	Cost (Rs/ KL)	Remarks
Individual	Annual O&M: Rs 1000-2000/KL; Land demand: 3 to 5 sq. m per household or 10 to 17 sq m/KL		
Septic Tanks	40-50% BOD & 50-70% TSS reduction	Rs. 50,000 per KL	Require proper septage removal frequency; No economic returns
Anaerobic baffled reactor	70% BOD & 50-70% TSS reduction	20-30% addl.	
Soak pit/ leach pit/ magic pits	40 to 50% BOD & 50 to 70% TSS reduction	Rs 3,000 per household (of 6 to 8 PE) or Rs 7500 to 10,000 per KL	Often prone to ground water contamination
Septic tank integrated Anaerobic (<u>natural/</u> <u>synthetic</u>) Filter based (<u>up flow/</u> downflow) reactor variants	BOD, pathogen and TSS removal (~ 70 to 80%)	Rs 25,000 to 30,000 per KL	Single/ multiple pass filters: Gravel, sand/soil; peat, textile + external inoculum or organic bed supported hybrid earthworms or Coagulants and aeration system (with 15 to 30 kWh/person/year power requirement) Unsustainable and expensive due to: a) Exhaustion of active ion-exchange sites and pollutant leaching, b) additional use of the non-renewable resources/ increased O&M costs, and /or c) non- availability of 24x7 energy supply in the rural / per-urban areas.

Comparative Performance & Economics of Available On-site Wastewater Treatment Technologies in Rural/ Peri-urban Settings

On-site Wastewater management tech.	Performance efficiency	Cost (Rs/ KL)	Remarks
Cluster based System			
Anaerobic lagoons/ Waste Stabilization Ponds	BOD (lagoons): 35% BOD (wsp): 60-75% Inefficient biologic/inorganic matter removal (Sahasranaman and Ganguly, 2018)	CAPEX: Rs 1600 to 2600 per KL Annual O&M: Rs 650 to 700 per KL	Covering 72.4% of STPs in Class II towns of India (CPCB, 2013). Require large land area (2 to 3 sq. m per person or 6.5 to 10 sq. m/KL), Higher HRT (3-5 days for anaerobic lagoons to 12 to 15 days for WSP technology), Periodic de- sludging & maintenance, High evaporation rates
Duckweed based waste water treatment system in conjunction with pisciculture	Unstained performance due to Duckweed die-off in cold weather besides Low pathogen removal	Comparable	Widely practiced in Punjab. Provides economic returns & capable of generating employment opportunities

PANORAMIC View of JALOPCHAR - AN ENVIRONMENT FRIENDLY WASTEWATER TREATMENT TECHNOLOGY based FACILITY (Capacity : 2.2 Million Litres per Day) for augmenting irrigation water supplies

Treatment Process: Plant-native microbe-media interaction



Sewage well (Inside View) **Treatment cell-2**

Grit Cha<u>mber</u>

Top Viev

Typha latifolia planted on stratified media

Treatment cell-3

CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER.

eated water collection tank

Stewarer pipe

Grit chamber

Treated Water Collection Tank (Outlet Side View)

Treated water collection sump

(Land Area Req. = 6.45 sq. m/ KL)

Improved Decentralized Wastewater Treatment Technology

On-site Wastewater management tech.	Performance efficiency	Cost (Rs/ KL)	Remarks
<section-header><section-header><text></text></section-header></section-header>	 Turbidity (90- 99%), Pathogen (99.8-99.9%), BOD (78-88%), Metals (57- 100%), Nitrates/ Phosphates (30- 57%) 68-73% Pathogen & Metal free food Good economic returns/ Value for Land 	CAPEX: Rs. 5500 to 6500/KL Annual 0&M : Rs 200 - 250/KL	 80-85% lower capital and O&M expenditure demandation of the techs. & 40-55% lower CAPEX than similar techs. Very scalable (< 1 sq. m/KL or more) Higher eff. @lower HRTs (<1 day to about 2 days) & simpler, compacter and (~ 66%) lower land demanding engineering design



Removal of Dissolved Organic Contaminants (PAHs, PCBs & Surfactants) through Jalopchar Technology



PAHs: Napthalene, Phenanthrene, Pyrene, Benzo(a)anthracene PCBs: PCB52, PCB 44

Surfactants: SDS (Sodium Do-decyl Sulphate), SDBS (Sodium Do-decyl Benzene Sulphonate)

ECOLOGICAL FOOTPRINT & SUSTAINABILITY Implemented Vs. Conventional Solution

Emergy Indices	Implemented Technology	Conventional Technology		
Environment Load Ratio	1.37	42.19		
Emergy Yield Ratio	0.70	0.01		
Renewable Percentage	0.51	0.02		
Emergy Sustainability Index	0.54	0.00034		

Implemented technology exerts 33 times lesser stress on environment

Implemented technology 70 times more efficient in utilizing purchased resources & 25 times more renewable resource consumptive

Implemented technology 1500 times more sustainable

Impact of Jalopchar treated Vs. untreated wastewaters on vegetables crop yields & heavy metal and pathogen loads

	Yield									
Treatment		Cabbage (Boll)	Baby corn (t/ha)		Lettuce	Brinjal	Broccoli	Green		
	Okra (t/na)		Cob	Stover	(t/ha)	(t/ha)	(t/ha)	(t/ha)		
Sewage Water (SW)	15.30	160	28.0	39.30	51.40	93.00	60.00	52.10		
Treated Water (TW)	13.60	142	23.1	34.30	42.60	82.75	53.50	47.18		
Ground Water (GW)	12.30	138	22.1	31.90	40.00	78.00	53.00	41.40		
%Change in TW over SW	-0.11	-0.11	-0.18	-0.13	-0.17	-0.11	-0.11	-0.09		
%Change in TW over GW	0.10	0.03	0.04	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.01	0.12		

	Baby corn				Brinjal			Broccoli			Green Onion					
Pollutant	GW	SW	TW	% Change in TW over SW	GW	SW	TW	% Change in TW over SW	GW	SW	TW	% Change in TW over SW	GW	SW	TW	% Change in TW over SW
Cu(mg/kg)	28	32	28	-13	9.0	11.7	9.9	-0.15	9.5	10.8	10.1	-0.06	14	-	30 -	6 - 2
Fe(mg/kg)	42	68	49.4	-27	94.0	118	101.5	-0.14	122	145	126.7	-0.13	(1 55)			1.21
Mn(mg/kg)	18	20	15.8	-21	31.8	41.9	34.9	-0.17	39.5	47.0	40.3	-0.14				
Zn(mg/kg)	48	58	47.3	-18	30.1	40.2	32.8	-0.18	34.6	48.0	34.6	-0.28	(1)			10-12
Ni (mg/kg)			.	-	1.5	4.2	2.3	-0.45	3.6	5.3	4.0	-0.25	1.6	3.2	2.1	-0.34
Pb (mg/kg)	2.5	5.5	4.0	-27	2.2	4.8	3.1	-0.35	5.0	8.8	5.9	-0.33	2.1	3.6	2.3	-0.36
Total Coliform Count (cfu/g)	4.3x10 ³	1.5x104	4.0x10 ³	- 73.3	2.3x10 ²	5.4x10 ³	1.6x10 ³	-69.5	63	148	47	-67.7	2 - 1		-	

Yields : 1 to 12% (w.r.t. GW) Metal Contamination: -13 to -36% Pathogen Loads: - 68 to -73 %

Pathogen/ Metal Risk Proof Aquaculture and High Fish Productivity

~3.5 tons/ ha Fish yield with less than 50% feed incorporation

Pathogen and heavy metal loads in Fishmuscle within safe limits

Fish hade part /	Heavy metal concentration (ppm)								
Organ	Copper (Cu)	Iron (Fe)	Manganese (Mn)	Zinc (Zn)	Nickel (Ni)	Lead (Pb)			
Upper part Muscle	0.09	0.97	0.17	0.54	0.42	0.22			
Middle part Muscle	0.09	1.05	0.13	0.58	0.34	0.44			
Lower part Muscle	0.14	1.05	0.17	0.68	0.38	0.10			
Gills	0.18	2.13	0.95	1.98	0.47	0.48			
Lower Gut	0.15	1.92	0.90	0.96	0.35	0.14			
Liver	0.18	2.14	0.18	0.87	0.42	0.25			
Permissible Limits	30*	100*	1*	100*	70-80"	NA			

* as prescribed by FAO/WHO (1989); ** as prescribed by USFDA (1993)

Other Benefits of Jalopchar Technology

- Zero to < 0.3% energy
- Zero-chemical
- Zero-sludge
- Reduced CAPEX

~ Rs. 55 - 65 Lakh/MLD (80 – 90 % Civil, 8-15% electrical, 2-5% vegetation)

• 50-65% reduced OPEX (just Rs. 0.60 per KL/day) **Integrated Cash from Trash Business models** *Transformation of Biomass into Particle Board & Briquettes/ Pellets*

> **Total Harvestable Biomass** 30-Tons per annum per 1.4 ha sized treatment facility

16000 sq. ft board @ Rs 12 per Sq ft = Rs. 1.92 Lakh

(500 boards of 8ft x 4ft x 3mm)

Each board utilizing ~30kg biomass

PARTICLE BOARDS

About 24,000 Kg @ Rs. 8/Kg = Rs. 1.92 Lakh

ENERGY BRIQUETTES / PELLETS

Recommended for National level adoption and implementation by the **Parliamentary Standing Committee on Agriculture**



Recommended for its Extension to 420+ Indian Cities by MoUD



Sir/Madam,

As you are aware, the Urban Local Bodies (ULBs) are responsible for the containment, collection, treatment and disposal of Sewage as per the effluent discharge standards notified by the State Pollution Control Board (SPCB)/Pollution Control Committee (PCC) and the Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) under the provisions of Environmental Protection Act, 1986. The existing Sewage Treatment capacity in the country is only about 37 per cent and the rest of the sewage is being discharged with partial/no treatment for which necessary treatment arrangements have to be provided.

One such Sewage Treatment process has been developed by IARI, New Delhi. It is a Low Cost, low energy, and eco-friendly treatment technology based on the wetland processes and found to be very effective for the smaller ULBs generally lacking sewage treatment facility. It is said to require 1.2 to 1.8-acre land (depending upon the site-specific design) for treating one million litres of Sewage per day (1 MLD, about 10,000 population equivalent) with adequate effluent quality for land application (i.e. irrigation) and/or for discharge to water bodies, depending upon the sewage pollutant concentration and the treatment – design of the proposed technology. The technology has less than 1% of the total energy demand of any conventional sewage treatment technology as it requires energy for only lifting the Sewage treatment technology is estimated to cost Rs. 0.545 Crore per MLD(million litres per day) of capital cost (CAPEX) and just 60 paise per Kilo litre (KL) for total operational and maintenance cost as it does not require any energy/chemicals for sewage treatment.

I request you to take advantage of this Low Cost, Low Energy and Eco-friendly Sewage Treatment technology developed by IARI. For any support and consultation in setting up such Sewage Treatment System, please contact Dr. (Mrs.) Ravinder Kaur, Project Director (WTC), ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi – 110 012, Telefax: 011-25846790; Mob: 9811041187; Email: pd_wtc@iari.res.in; Website: www.iari.res.in.

With Best Regards,

Yours sincerely,

To Principal Secretary / Secretary, In-charge of UD/ PHED/Water Supply and Sanitation Departments; Mission Directors (AMRUT); Mission Directors (SBM). Copy to:

of All States/UTs, As per List attached

Joint Secretary & MD, AMRUT, MOUD. Dr. (Mrs.) Ravinder Kaur, Project Director (WTC), ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi.

Office: 140-C, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi-110011
Mob: 9013133636, Phone: 011-23062309, Fax: 23062477 praveenprakashud@gmail.com, praveen.prakash71@nic.in

Jalopchar [™]: A Plant-Microbe-Media Interaction based Successful Eco-friendly Wastewater Treatment Technology of ICAR/ IARI



Ravinder Kaur (2020) Jalopchar[™] - An Eco-friendly Wastewater Treatment Technology. ICAR Success Story, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, pp. 44 (ISBN No. : 978-81-7164-205-2; <u>https://icar.org.in/e-books</u>)



The technology has been **selected as** a **"Good practice example**" under "Safe Use of Wastewater in Agriculture" initiatives by the **United Nation Water**



flores.unu.edu

The Director Prof. Dr. Reza Ardakanian UNU-FLORES United Nations University Institute for Integrated Management of Material Plukes and of Resources

UNU-FLORES

Ammonstrasse 74 01067 Drescen, Germany Tel +49 (0) 351 8921 9372 Fax +49 (0) 351 8921 9389 Email flores/@unu.edu

REFERENCE: UNU-FLORES/OD/2016/04

15 January 2016

Workshop on Good Practice Examples and Future Research Needs for Safe Use of Wastewater in Agriculture (SUWA) Organized by UNU-FLORES in Lima, Peru, on 24-25 February 2016

Dear Mrs. Ravinder Kaur,

I am pleased to invite you to the above-mentioned workshop organized by the United Nations University Institute for Integrated Management of Material Fluxes and of Resources (UNU-FLORES). We greatly appreciate your willingness to share your knowledge on the Safe Use of Wastewater in Agriculture in the form of keynotes/presentations/discussions.

CASE 8

Eco-Friendly Wastewater Treatment for Reuse in Agriculture (India)

Ravinder Kaur¹

Abstract

NAME NAME

Oxidation ponds or activated sludge processes are the two most commonly deployed wastewater treatment technologies in India. However, these processes are expensive and require complex operations and maintenance. In view of these limitations, constructed wetland technology has been receiving greater attention in recent years. However, the rate of adoption of wetland technology for wastewater treatment in developing countries has been low due a general belief that these technologies have large land area requirements. Batch-fed wetland systems with shorter hydraulic retention times (HRTs) have generally been found to translate into smaller land requirements and

Also **selected as** an **innovation in Indian Agriculture** by the **National Skills Foundation of India**





Innovations in INDIAN AGRICULTURE *Select Case Studies*

	Eco Friendly Waste Water Management							
	1.	Name of the Innovation	Eco friendly waste water management					
محتلط الخود	2.	Name of the Innovator (Person / Agency)	ICAR-IARI					
	ci.	About the Innovator	The Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI) is the country's premier national Institute for agricultural research, education and extension. Currently, the Institute has 20 divisions 6 multi- disciplinary centres situated in Delhi and 8 region- stations.					
	4.	Brief about the challenge the innovation / technology is addressing	Management of waste water/low quality water is essential to reduce pollution. Severe water shortage is the emerging challenge. The demand could be met by enhancing water use efficiency.					
	6.	How does the innovation / technology addresses the mentioned challenge	The new system reduces metal pollutants besides degrading organic and inorganic pollutants and its energy requirement is less than 1% as it does not require operating serators.					
	6.	How is this innovation a better alternative over the current scenario?	 ✓ Zero chemical application ✓ Zero sludge generation, ✓ 60-66% reduced treatment cost, creation of surface water source enabling managed aquifer recharge ✓ Less than 1% energy requirement. 					
and the second	7.	Who are the beneficiaries of the innovation / technology?	Farmers and society					
· · · · ·	8.	Contect details for further information	Project Director (WTC) ICAR-IARI New Delhi-110012 Phone: 9811041187 Emsil: rk132.ieri@gmail.com					



AWARDED TO

ICAR- INDIAN AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH INSTITUTE

FOR QUALIFYING AMONGST

TOP-30 TRANSFORMATIONAL INNOVATION PROJECTS IN INDIA

FOR

ECO-FRIENDLY WASTEWATER TREATMENT FOR SAFE RE-USE IN AGRICULTURE

GIVEN ON THIS 20TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2017 AT NEW DELHI

James Uuchhas

SAMEER KOCHHAR, CHAIRMAN, SKOCH GROUP





ICAR - INDIAN AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH INSTITUTE

FOR

ECO-FRIENDLY WASTEWATER TREATMENT FOR SAFE RE-USE IN AGRICULTURE

CONFERRED THIS HIGHEST INDEPENDENT HONOUR IN INDIA ON 21⁸¹ DECEMBER 2017 AT NEW DELHI



lames yochhas

SAMEER KOCHHAR CHAIRMAN, SKOCH GROUP

Jalopchar™ Technology: Scalability & Replicability



THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN WHAT WE DO & WHAT WE ARE CAPABLE OF DOING WOULD SUFFICE TO SOLVE

OST OF THE WORLD'S PROBLEMS

Jhank Jow